we witness an ever-increasing departure from the principles upon which this nation was founded. The general attitude today has become one of callous, apathetic, indifference to the sober realities looming large on the horizon. Crime, violence, bloodshed, broken homes and juvenile elinquency is sweeping onward in avalanche proportions...carrying untold millions into the depths of agony, degradation, and death. And yet there are many who would dare to ask, What has mankind to fear? Of what evils do you speak? To whom I would reply, Who can deny that this present world in which we find ourselves is not an evil world? Who can honestly refute the facts. the certainty that we stand in this year of 1953 in momentous times? A time of widespread immorality and of growing discreased for these things which was attended to second stand in jeopardy—in constant danger of destruction from certain, cataclysmic, forces of evil which are raging night and day throughout the entire earth. A general process of moral disintegration is now making tragic inroads on everything dear to the peace and happiness of all mankind. Sacred institutions, Godly precepts, high ideals, old-fashioned wirtues, are fast slipping from the public conscience! Here in America disregard for those things which are eternally sacred? All the elements of good which yet remain in human society today

By overything in the Bible we of this present generation are undoubtedly living in THE TIME OF THE END...THE CLOSING AND CLIMACTIC DAYS OF THE PRESENT THAT IT AND INHAPPY WORLD (Gal. 1:4, Ist John 5:19). As we are told in Daniel LZ, this is the prophesied time when knowledge was to be greatly increased and when many would be traveling to and fro (verse 4). And as we find in Matthew and Luke, this is the time of world wars, of nations and groups of nations fighting against each other on an international scale (Matt. 24:5-8, Luke 21:9-10, Rev. 6:4, Isa. 57:20-21). This is also THE TIME OF BROUTHS AND FAMILES, DISASTROUS HURRICAINLES AND TORNADCES, EARTHQUAKES AND VOLCANIC EXUPTIONS, TIDAL WAVES AND MANAGING FIGORS (Matt. 24:7 & Luke 21:11, 25-26). Without contradiction the condition of this troubled and unhappy world worsens by the hour, as all nations continue plunging headlong down the path of willful disobedience-fondly called "Civilization"-which they have doffiantly established as their way of life, Faster and faster the human "ace hurtles to its self-imposed destruction-suffering intense anguish and torment along the way-brought on by wanton violation of the Creator's expressed will and Holy Laws (Deut. 31:16-18 and 32:18-20, 23-29).

Yes, many Bible prophecies are now in process of fulfillment before our very eyes. But still greater, catastrophic, world-Shaking events are destined to take place between now and the prophesied end of man's present faulty system of things here on earth (Matt. 24:21-22 & 29, Zeph. 1:14-18, Rev. 6:14-17). Every thinking Christian should rejoice to know that THE TIME OF CHRIST'S VISIBLE REFURM (Matt. 24:30, Luke 21:27) TO EARTH—as the Supreme World Ruler and Chief Religious Head (Isa. 9:6-7, Dan. 2:44, Luke 1:32-33, Micah 4:1-5, Rev. 19:11-16, etc.)—IS WOW WITHIN SIGHT—although perhaps still another 25 or 30 years away. So far as men and their behavior before God is concerned, we see tragically fulfilled today the descriptive indictment of 2nd Timothy 3:1-7, where the Apostle Paul said the following: "This know also, that IN THE LAST DAYS PERLICUS THES SHALL COME. For men shall be lovers of their own selves, covetous, boasters, proud, blasphemers, disobedient to parents, unthankful, unholy, Without natural affection, TRUCE-REPARCES (breaking merriage vows and other contracts); PALSE ACCUSERS (when filing suit for divorce, etc.), incontinent, fierce, despisers of those that are good, TRAITORS (to God, to marriage-partners, etc.), heady, highminded, lovers of pleasures more than lovers of God; Having a form of Godliness (professing Christians of all the many false and department of the prower than lovers of God; but denoting the nower than lovers of God; with the prower than lovers of descriptions.) but denoting the nower than lovers of gods. sects and denominations), but denying the power thereof (refusing to follow Christ's example and obey God's Commands); from such turn away. For of this sort are they which creep into houses, and lead captive silly women, laden with various lusts, EVER LEARNING (all the inventior of modern science), AND NEVER ABLE TO COLL TO THE KNOWLEDGE OF THE TRUTH (still rejecting the laws of God above: John 17:17, Romans 1:28-32, Hosea 4:1-7, 2nd Thess. 2:10)." inventions

# Marriage Is A Divine Institution

One of the fundamental aspects regarding the institution of MARR-IAGE is the fact that it IS A UNION DEPAILED BY GOD WINSELF, intended to be a great blessing to all who correctly fulfill and honor its demands. It is not an arbitrary union devised by men, with the privilege of cencelling out whenever either party might desire other pastures, or

whenever some adverse combination of circumstances and personalities arises to try and test one's patience, love, and understanding. In the 2nd chapter of Genesis, verse 18, our Eternal Creator made this conclusive statement:"...It is not good that the man should be alone; I vill make him an help meet for him." Then, in verses 21-24 of the same chapter, we find the account of Eve's creation. Listen closely: "And the Lord God caused a deep sleep to fall upon Adam, and he slept: and He took one of his ribs, and closed up the flesh thereof; And the rib, which the Lord God had taken from man, made He into a woman, and brought her unto the man. And Adam said, This is now bone of my bones, and flesh of my flesh: she shall be called Woman, because she was taken out of Man. THEREFORE SHALL A MAN leave his father and mother, and shall CLEAVE UNTO HIS VITES:

Approximately 4,000 years after Adam spoke these inspired words, Jesus Christ appeared on the scene and with forcible emphasis repeated Adam's remarks. In Mark 10:6-8, He boldly declared the following: ... From the beginning of the creation GDD MADE FIER AND FIER AND CLEAVE UNTO HIS THIS CAUSE SEALLA MAN LEAVE HIS FATHER AND HOTHER, AND CLEAVE UNTO HIS OUT ON THE TWAIN SHALL BE ONE FLESH: so then they are no more twain, but one flesh." Who is it that joins a man and woman togethor as husband and wife? It is not, as some seem to think, the presiding minister priest, or justice of the peace. IT IS GOD AND GOD ALONE WHO "FLEST THE MOOT." IT IS GOD WHO MAKES THEM ONE: Why does a man feel attracted to a woman and wish to leave his parents? Because the Eternal Creator has purposely made the two sexes, each to want and to need the other, in creater the full and happily productive life which He has ordained. In the practical man and woman are guilty of criticizing and condemning God Himself. It is the Devil who prevails upon the narrow-minded and intensity of moreal's viscoted and contensity is and contensity and contensity the god the contensity of criticizing and condemning for moreal's viscoted and contensity relations between an account of moreal's viscoted and contensity the god the carrow-minded and contensity of criticizing and condemning in the contensity of criticizing and condemning of moreal's viscoted and contensity the god the contensity to the contensity of criticizing and condemning and contensity of criticizing and condemning the contensity of criticizing and condemning and contensity discounts. and pleasure of properly directed and controlled sexual relations. At this point let us firmly bear in mind the undeniable fact that the Creator purposely made the two sexes, each for the respective good of the other, and that He is the one who ratifles, seals and binds any lawfully legitimate marriage contract entered into by those who voluntarily wish to take each other as husband and wife.

#### Let Not Man Put Asunder!

How very little this stern warning from Christ is considered and obeyed today? And, by many who claim to be His devoted and obedient followers? Once having created Adam and Eve, Joining them together in Holy Wedlock, God never intended that they or their descendants should commit the senseless folly of such wanton, unauthorized, divorce and remarriage as so many have indulged in through the centuriés—especially during the past fifty years. Regrettably however, as is shown by several scriptures, the sordid practice of callously divorcing one's mate—for meny reasons other than the one and only cause allowed by God—soon made its ugly appearance in human society. Consider with me now the full import and significance of Matthew 19:3-6:"The Pharisees also came unto Him (Christ), tempting Him, and saying unto Him, IS IT IAWFUL (according to the Bible) FOR A MAN TO PUT AVAY HIS VITE FOR EVERY CAUSE? (Then, as now, such was the common practice among many—including those who professed to be Christians). And He answered and said unto them, Have ye not read, that He which made them at the beginning made them male and female, And said, For this cause shall a man leave father and mother, and shall cleave unto his wife; and they twain shall be one

How this last ringing statement from Christ must have shaken their faith in the inherited Jewish traditions governing divorce and remarringe; Not another word from Christ should have been necessary, but to try and justify themselves and salve their guilty consciences they asked thin the following question:"...Why did Moses then command to give a writing of divorcement, and to put her away?" (Yerse 7). What Christ said in reply to this question constitutes one of the most nisconstrued and misinterpreted verses of the entire Bible; Hardly anyone yet today seems to understand, and yet the meaning is quite plain when all the facts are honestly considered. Here was His reply:"He saith unto them, MOSES RECAUSE OF THE HARDNESS OF YOUR HEARTS SUFFERED YOU TO FUT AWAY TOUR WITES. BUT FROM THE BEGINNING IT WAS NOT SO." Both our Seriour and His questioners in this conversation were referring to Deut. 24:1-4, an old testament passage on which the Jews and many other have done much wishful thinking, and from which they have drawn many wrong conclusions regarding

Immediately after telling the hypocritical Pharisees that from the very beginning it had not been God's will to sanction such divorce as the Israelites began to practice during Moses' time, Christ then went on to say this: "And I say unto you, WHOSOEVER SHALL PUT AWAY HIS WIFE, EXCEPT IN BE FOR FORNICATION, AND SHALL MARRY ANOTHER, COMMITTETH ADULTERY: and Whoso marrieth her which is put away (for some reason other than for the Eduse of fornication) doth commit adultery." In this verse Our Lord gives all manhind THE ONE AND ONLY BIBLE GROUNDS FOR OPPIAINING A DIVORCE: What reason does He give? Two things are mentioned in this verse which we have not encountered previously in this study. One is called "fornive have nother is called "adultery." But, notice, of the two it is ly for the act of fornication which Christ tells us any man has the right in God's sight to put away his wife!

For an authentic definition of the word "fornication," let's turn now to Webster's Collegiate Dictionary, 5th edition, page 395, where we find the following: "ILLICIT (unlawful) SEXUAL INTERCOURSE ON THE PART OF ALL UNHARRIED PERSON." This definition is based upon and is fully substantiated by several significant texts in both the old and new testanents. In Deut. 22:13-19, the matter of PERMANITAL RELATIONS is specifically dealt with. In this passage Moses wrote the following explicit instructions, which he had received from God, governing the possibility of divorce: "If any man take a wife, and go in unto her (has intercourse with her on their wedding night), and hate her, And give occasions of speech against her, and bring up an evil name upon her, and say, I TOOK MPIS WOMAN, AND WHEN I CAME TO HER, I TOUND HER NOT A LIAD: Then shall the father of the damsel, and her mother, take and bring Torth THE TOKENS OF THE DAMSEL'S VIRGINITY unto the elders of the city in the gate: And the damsel, and he hateth her; And, 10, he hath given occasions of speech against her, saying, I TOOUND MOT THY DAUGHTER, A MAID; and VIRGIN SPEECH THE TOKENS OF MY DAUGHTER'S VIRGINITY. And the elders of that city shall amerce (levy a fine) him in an hundred shekels of thet city and give in the father of the demsel, because he hath brought up an evil me upon A VIRGIN of Israel: and SHE SHALL BE HIS WIFE; HE MAY NOT FUT

these plain words from the lips and pen of Moses? In them he states the ONE AND ONLY BIBLE HEASON FOR OSFAINING A DIVORCE...THE HEASON OF FORNI-CATION... PREMARITAL INTERCOURSE! Let's understand. It is God's loving will that both a man and a woman come to the sacred estate of marriage as virgins--neither one having had any sexual relations before marriage. It is His will that each have a mate untouched and undefiled by fornicating relations with someone else before marriage. It is a crime against one's own self, and against one's marriage. It is a crime to indulge in promiscuous intercourse before marriage. If any man's charge that his bride had not come to him a virgin was proven untrue, then she was to remain his lawfully wedded wife for the rest of his life. Whether such a wife eventually proved to be an asset or a detriment, a blessing or a curse in other respects was something for them both to work on. Sufficient to say, any couple who live in reverent fear of God and are obedient to His Holy Commands shall have His ever-present help to make their marriage a shining success!

what took place if the charge of not being a virgin brought against a bride was found to be true. To emphasize everyone's need to strictly refrain from sexual intercourse before marriage...to visibly impress on their minds how great a sin premarital relations were in cod's sight, we find that God instituted a very sobering penalty to be enforced on those who dared to violate His law. Notice verees 20 and 21:

"BYT If this thing be true, and THE TOKENS OF VIRGINITY BE NOT FOUND FOR THE DAMSEL: THEN...THE MEN OF HER CITY SHALL STONE HER WITH STONES THAT SHE DIE: because she hath wrought folly in Israel, to play the whore in her father's house: SO SHALT THOU PUT EVIL ANY FROM ARONG YOU. No longer does god require this terrifying penalty to be enforced on those guilty of such a crime against society. But, He most certainly does still intend that the unmarried nevertheless observe this eternal prestill intend that the unmarried nevertheless observe this eternal prestrict of coronet his yearly should be reinvoked upon society today, only God knows how many would have to ferfeit their lives. In His article entitled "Those should be reinvoked upon society today, only God convet hisyexine, author Ellis Michael states that they yearly verage of unwed mothers have in the United States numbered (at that time) 100, 000—of whom half were under the age of 20:

Let us not overlook here the undeniable fact that the figure of 100,000 unwed mothers represents only a fraction of all those guilty of indulging in sexual relations prior to marriage. The 100,000 who become pregnant and bring unwanted, mistreated and neglected babes into this proubled world are only those who fail to use effective contraceptive measures...those who somehow "get caught." Much more could be said, more authentic statistics cited, to show the sickening tragedy of this blot on our American youth, and those of other so-called "Christian" nations. Would to God we were just half as righteous as so many smugly assume: It is a national and international tragedy that there are many millions the world over who relegate God's Law against such actions into the category of "antiquated concepts," not now to be be seriously consted, children. May God have mercy on such a rebellious generation; All parents, and those who consider themselves minister's of Christ, who fail to constantly guard against and to sternly suppress such wanton intercourse must bear the stigma and suffering of the children's sin-both in this life and at the Judgment-seat of Christ.

## The Example Of Joseph And Mary:

To further prove the fact that both Moses and Christ have given fornication as the one and only Bible grounds for securing a divorce, turn with me now to the first chapter of Matthew. There we find the account concerning Joseph and his suspicions against Mary, when he learned she was with child before their marriage. Give your attention now to verses 18 and 19: "Now the birth of Jesus Christ was on this wise: When he reares 18 and 19: "Now the birth of Jesus Christ was on this wise: When her his mother Mary was espoused to Joseph, BEFORE THEY CAME TOGETHER (had as his mother Mary was espoused to Joseph, BEFORE THEY CAME TOGETHER (had her husband (her intended husband), being a just man, and not willing to her and have her stoned to death), THEN MINDED TO PUT HER ANAX (divorce) her and have her stoned to death), THEN MINDED TO PUT HER ANAX (divorce) privately." Here, Triends, is New Testment scripture—a concrete example—concerning Christ's own mother, in which we find that Joseph was planning to divorce her: WHY? Because he naturally supposed that since she was with child she had already had intercourse with some other man and was therefore not coming to him as a pure virgin. Thus, knowing had case, Joseph was going to refuse her as his wife by giving her a writtend of divorce and quietly sending her on her way. Being a just and honourable man, he wanted to do so as quietly and privately as possible—in order to save her from embarrassment, shame, and death by stoning.

As the next few verses show, Joseph was soon enlightened by an angel as to the true facts regarding Mary's pregnancy, and was told not to doubt her virginity. He then no doubt rejoiced and was told not to after the birth of Christ-to take her as his lawfully wedded wife. In no passage of the entire Bible can you find where God ever gave any reason for divorce except that which both Moses and Christ have unequivocally declared; If you require further proof that the Bible defines fornication as being premarital intercourse, consider John 8:41, where some sarcastic and Christ-rejecting Jews had the gall to tell Christ the following:"...WE BE NOT BORN OF FORNICATION..." In making this statement these Jews were insulting Christ by insinuating that His father was only some man with whom Mary had had unlawful, fornicating, relations before her marriage to Joseph. They were calling Him a bastard, an illegitimate child, sired out of wedlock by some human father. How much anguish and grief such charges gave Our Lord we can only imagine; But, this revolting statement is nevertheless more irrefutable proof that the Bible itself defines fornication as being unlawful premarital inter-

#### That Which Moses Allowed

Now let me explain the true meaning of Christ's reply to the sarcastic Pharisees in Matthew 19:8, where He told them that because of the hardness of their hearts Moses allowed them to put away their wives.

As I noted previously, both Christ and His questioners in this case were referring to Deut. 24:1-4, especially verse! Fiver since Hoses was inspired to write this first verse, and the three following, the Jews and those of every nation under the sun have greatly nisconstrued, warped and twisted out of all proportion, Christ's intended meaning! Verse one has been seized upon for approximately 3300 years now as Bible authority for divorce on many grounds--other than the one and only given by Moses just two chapters previous. Here is what Moses wrote probably within a matter of hours from his writing of chapter 22:13-21, which we have a matter of hours from his writing of chapter 22:13-21, which we have already considered. Listen, "WHEM A MAN HATH TAKEM A WIDE (this phrase being in the past tense signifies the marriage was made and fully content to the past tense signifies the marriage was made and fully content to the content of the content o

-257-

future tense signifies an indefinite time after the bride had been accepted and the marriage fully consumated-perhaps weeks, months, even years) THAT SHE FIND NO FAVOUR IN HIS EYES, BECAUSE HE HATH FOUND SOME UNCLEASINESS IN HER: then LET HIM GIVE HER A BILL OF DIVORCEMENT, and Give it in her hand, AND SEND HER OUT OF HIS HOUSE." (Verse one).

stop and think a moment: Was Moses here flatly contradicting his restrictive statements of chapter 22:13-19, in which (by revelation from God Himself) he authorized only the act of fornication as legitimate grounds for divorcing one's mate? Could he have flouted the commands there already given and of his own volition, without God's consent, have permitted men to begin putting away their wives for unauthorized reapermitted men to begin putting away their wives for unauthorized reapermitted men inagination, in order to please the public, as many deceiving church leaders have done! Here is the Plain Bible Truth. The word translated as "uncleanness" in this controversial verse is from the original Hebrew word "Ervah," which means NUDITY, NAKENHESS, or SHAME. And, the only nudity, nakedness, or shame on a woman's part for which God allows a man to divorce one he has taken to be his wife concerns the MATTER AND QUESTION OF HER VIRGINITY—as we have already seen!

In reality, this verse describes A CASE WHERE AN INDEFINITE PERIOD OF TIME HAD ELACED BEFORE THE MAN DISCOVERED HIS WIFE HAD NOT COME TO HIM A VIRGIN. What did Christ mean by saying that it was because of the hardness of their hearts that Moses allowed them to put away thair wives. The answer to this lies in the much overlooked but very significant TIME THE ENEMY involved in such a case. To help us more fully understand the direct relation of this time element to Christ's statement regarding the hardness of men's hearts where divorcing of their wives was concerned, turn with me now to Deut. 21:10-14. In this passage Moses gave instructions governing the possibility of a soldier in the army of Israel seeing a beautiful captive among a group of conquered women and desiring her to become his wife. Then, in verses 13 and 14, he said this: "And she shall put the raiment of her captivity from off her, and shall remain in thine house, and bewail her fapher and her mother a full month: and after that THOU SHAID GO IN UNTO HER (have intercourse with her), and ster that THOU SHAID BO DELIGHT IN HER, then THOU SHAID LET THOU HAVE NO DELIGHT IN HER, then THOU SHAID LET THOU HAVE NO DELIGHT IN HER, then THOU SHAID LET THOU SHAID LET THOU SHAID LET THOU SHAID LET THE COUSE of her, because thou hast humbled her (had intercourse with her over a period of time)."

For what reason was a soldier allowed in God's sight to divorce such a woman, whom he had married and taken to be his wife? IT COULD ONLY HAVE BEEN FOR THE OAUSE OF FORNICATION...HE DISCOVERY SOLETHIE AND THE OAUSE OF FORNICATION...HE DISCOVERY SOLETHIE AND NOT COME TO HIM A VIRGIN; Both this and chapter 24:1-4 have the same factors involved. Both describe the same type of case. One in which the woman's lack of virginity was not at first discovered.—in which some time had elapsed before the man involved learned the truth. A case where the man, by reason of his own intercourse with her, unwithingly caused her to become doubly objectionable to another man for the same reason he had decided to divorce her. A case in which the time for him to have brought a public charge against her and for her to have been stoned to death was already past. And, one in which she was not held responsible for the fact of her sex relations with the man who decided to reject her. In such a case, in view of such extenuating circumstences, if the man, OUT OF HARDNESS OF HEART, being UNVILLING TO FORGIVE HER SIM AND TO KEEP HER, insisted on turning her away, he was allowed by Moses to do so.

Such men admittedly had a strong point of argument in their favor. If a woman has been guilty of intercourse before marriage, she might be one to continue it after marriage with someone other than her lawfully wedded husband. However, since the man had professed enough love to want her as his wife, even though he eventually learned she had not come want her as his wife, even though he eventually learned she had not come to him a chaste virgin, still, if his love were sincerely true, the only thing he should have done in such a case would have been to overlook her sin, both of them ask God to forgive it, and he then to have kept her as his wife! God nowhere states that if a man wishes to overlook a woman's premarital relations that he cannot do so. How many women would have to be rejected today if the prospective bridegrooms insisted on their brides being virgins! In such an age of lost virtue as we have today the man who falls in love with a woman guilty of premarital repents of her past profligacy and could be counted upon to remain true to pents of her past profligacy and could be counted upon to remain true to her husbani. All things considered, it was basically a hardness of heart, a lack of true love, an unrelenting, unforgiving spirit which prompted such men to take belated advantage of the law and to divorce these counted to the belated advantage of the law and to divorce

In cases of this nature, since He knew what might develop, God apparently deferred His sealing of such a marriage—while waiting to see whether the offended man would accept or reject such a woman when he finally learned the truth. This hardness of heart both God and Moses allowed, although both would no doubt have preferred to see such women accepted and retained as the men's wives—in which case God would then have made them one. Regardless of how one may regard the merits of such heave made divorce action, the truth remains that Deut. 24:1 does not mean what thousands have wished to make it mean through the centuries. In IS NOT BIBLE AUTHORITY FOR SECURING A DIVORCE ON GROUIDS NOT AUTHORIZED IS NOT BIBLE AUTHORIZED AGAINST THE STANDARDS AND LIMITATIONS SET BY HIM HAVE FAISELY CHAINED:

Once understanding the true meaning of Deut. 24:1, the three verses 4: "And when she is departed out of his house (divorced on the Grounds of formication by the first men to formally marry her), she may go and be another man's wife (there was no law to prevent such a woman from hopeant) trying to yet find some man who would have her, regardless of her past). And if the latter husband (the second men to formally marry her, whom she had somehow succeeded in winning) hate her (he too discovers whom she had somehow succeeded in winning) hate her (he too discovers only cause of fornication), and giveth it in her hand, and sendeth her out of his house; or if the latter husband die, which took her to be his vife; HER FORMER HUSBAND (the first man to whom she was formally married), WHICH SENT HER ANNY (divorced her), MAY NOT TAKE HER AGAIN TO DE HIS WIFE, after that she is defiled (once having refused her he was not be to childishly change his mird and finally another man, for which he was indirectly responsible); FOR THAT IS ABDULTIVATION EFFORE THE LORD..."

No: Indeed not: Once having taken advantage of the one and only grounds for divorce which God allows, no man was privileged to later reverse his position, and like some petulant, vacillating, unstable child decide that he would like after all to take back a vomen whom he had actually enlisted God's help to get rid of; God Himself is not so unprincipled, so unstable and so perverse. Neither does He foolishly unprincipled, so unstable and so perverse. Neither does He foolishly unprincipled and nullify His deliberated previous actions. Nor is it His counteract and nullify His deliberated previous actions. Nor is it His plan and purpose to aid and abet the formation of defective character in manikind. Once He makes a decision and performs an action in our behalf, based upon His Holy Laws, He personally sticks to it and we are obligated to do likewise. Later on in this article I will show you why obligated to do likewise. Later on in this article I will show you who their misunderstanding of the entire passage—the reunion of those who actually are one flesh in God's sight.

### Thou Shalt Not Commit Adultery!

Thus far we have reviewed the facts concerning the sin of premarital relations, which is called fornication, and which constitutes the only Bible grounds for divorcing one's mate-providing it can be proved. Now let's turn to another matter of vital importance to the subject of Divorce And Remarriage. In Ex. 20:14 God Himself spoke this subject of Divorce And Remarriage. In Ex. 20:14 God Himself spoke this authoritative command: "PHOU SHAIM NOT COMMIT ADULTERY." Unlat is this act which He forbids? Turning again to Vebster's Collegiate Dictionary, we find this definition of "Adultery" on page 19: "Voluntary SEXUAL INwe find this definition of "Adultery" on page 19: "Voluntary SEXUAL INwe find this form scallar mathority is also based upon and is fully subdefinition from secular authority is also based upon and is fully subdefinition from secular authority is also based upon and is fully subdefinition from secular authority is also based upon and is fully subloreover thou shalt not lie carnally with thy neighbour's wife, to de"Horeover thou shalt not lie carnally with thy neighbour's wife, to de"Horeover thou shalt not lie carnally with thy neighbour's wife to de"Horeover thous shalt not lie carnally with thy neighbour's wife this carnally with that committeth adultery
we find this steggering statement: "And the man that committeth adultery
with another man's wife, even he that committeth adultery with his neighbour's wife (twice repeated for the sake of emphasis), THE ADULTERER
for DEATH."

Before we go any further, please bear in mind the fact that sexual intercourse indulged in by unmarried persons is called "Fornication," and that "Adultery" is unlawful intercourse indulged in by married persons with others then their lawful mates. Under the Mosaic Dispensation which stoud until the founding of the Church Of Cod on the Day of Pentecestin 51 A.D., the crimes of Fornication, Rape, and Adultery were punishable by death. (See Dout. 22:21, 25-27, and 22). At this point let us also renember that Christ said in Matthew 19:9: "And I say unto you,

WHOSOEVER SHALL PUT AWAY HIS WIFE, EXCEPT IT BE FOR FORNICATION (the sin of premarital intercourse), AND SHALL MARY ANOTHER, COMMITTEETH ADULTERY: AND WHOSO MARRISTH HER WHICH IS FUT AWAY (divorced for some cause other than the one and only allowed by God DOTH COMMIT ADULTERY: To also emphasize the fact that this unequivocal statement holds true regardless of which party secures the unlawful divorce, whether the husband or wife, Christ said this:"...AND IF A WOMAN SHILL FUT AWAY HER HUSBAND (like meny men, there have been countless women guilty of divorcing their mates for reasons other than that which God allows), AND HE MARRIED TO ANOTHER, SHE COMMITTETH ADULTERY." (Mark 10:12).

### A True Marriage Is "For Life"

Why does any man or woman who divorces their lawful marriage-partner or some reason other than for the one cause of fornication become guilty of the sin of adultery if they remarty? Paul clarifies this point for us in several New Testament passages governing the sacred institution of marriage. First let's consider what he said in Romans 7:2-3:"[OR THE WOMAN (this also applies to the men) WHICH HAPH AN HUSBAND IS DOUNG AS HE LIVER; but if the husband be dead, she is loosed from the law of her husband (she is no longer bound to her deceased mate). SO THEN IF WHILE HER HUSBAND LIVETH, SHE BS MARRIED TO ANOTHER HAW! (if she divorces for some unauthorized reason and remarries), SHE SHAIL BE CALLED AN ADULTICAL SIGHT to then remarry); so that she is free from that law (free in God's sight to then remarry); so that she is no adulteress, though she be married to another man." This critical factor is further emphasized by Paul in 1st Corinthians 7:39; "THE WIFE (or husband) IS BOUND ME JEAN FOR SHE IS AT HUSBAND AS LONG AS HER HUSBAND LIVETH; BUT IF HER JUSBAND AS LONG AS HER HUSBAND LIVETH; BUT IF HER JUSBAND AS LONG AS HER HUSBAND LIVETH; BUT IF HER JUSBAND AS LONG AS HER HUSBAND LIVETH; BUT IF HER JUSBAND AS JUSBAND AS

besides that of formication would culminate in the sin of adultery if sither party should remarry. Let's understand: If and when a man and oman choose to take each other as husband and wife, and neither one subsequently brings a charge of formication against the other, then God seals their marriage, makes them one flesh, with the intent and purpose that they remain so for the rest of their natural lives! It was for this reason that Christ said: "Wherefore they are no more twain, but one flesh, what THEREPORE GOD HATH JOHED TOGETHER, LET NOT MAN FUT ASUMDER." (Mat. 19:6). If any man or woman so joined together by God takes advantage of unauthorized human laws (of which there are many) to divorce and remarry—while the one to whom God has joined them for life is still alive—they thus become unlawfully attached to, they become guilty of the great sin of adultery...unlawfull sexual relations with someone other than the one to whom God has joined to, they become guilty of the great sin of adultery...unlawfull sexual relations with someone other than the one

Referring again to the greatly abused, misconstrued, and misinterpreted passage of Deut. 24:1-4, SHALL WE SAY THAT MOSES WAS IN IGNORANCE OF THESE PRETINENT PACTES Shall we contend he did not realize that anyone divorcing for unauthorized reasons and marrying again would thus become guilty of adultery in God's sight? Or, shall we maintain the ridiculous premise that because Christ stated their hearts were hard Moses allowed the men of his day to divorce those to whom God had joined them for life? SHALL WE SAY THAT MOSES AIDED AND ARETTED THE SIN OF ADULTERY, ONLY TO HAVE STONED TO DEATH FINOSE CAUGHT IN THE PERFORMANCE OF 17? INDEED WE AND OF ADULTERY OF AND CHAVE STONED TO DEATH FINOSE CAUGHT IN THE PERFORMANCE OF TO DEATH FINOSE CAUGHT IN THE PERFORMANCE OF TO DEATH FINOSE WHO STONED WE WOUNT OF THAT MOSES AIDED AND WELL THE THE PERFORMANCE OF TOWN MAN OF WORLD WE WOUNT OF THE PERFORMANCE OF TOWN MAN OF WORLD WE WOUNT OF THE PERFORMANCE OF TOWN MAN OF WORLD WE WOUNT OF THE PERFORMANCE OF TOWN MAN OF WORLD WE WOUNT OF THE PERFORMANCE OF TOWN MAN OF WORLD WE WOUNT OF THE PERFORMANCE OF TOWN MAN OF WORLD WE WOUNT OF THE PERFORMANCE OF TOWN MAN OF WORLD WE WOUNT OF THE PERFORMANCE OF TOWN MAN OF WORLD WE WOUNT OF THE PERFORMANCE OF TOWN MAN OF WORLD WE WOUNT OF THE PERFORMANCE OF TOWN MAN OF WORLD WE WOUNT OF THE PERFORMANCE OF TOWN MAN OF WORLD WE WOUNT OF THE PERFORMANCE OF TOWN MAN OF WORLD WE WOUNT OF THE PERFORMANCE OF TOWN MAN OF WORLD WE WOUNT OF THE PERFORMANCE OF TOWN MAN OF THE PERFORMANCE OF THE PERFORMANCE OF TOWN MAN OF THE PERFORMANCE OF TOWN

Unfortunately such a case, and such a course of action to try and rectify such a situation, is invariably baset by many complications. The man or woman wishing to take such action would necessarily have to discuss the matter with the one to whom they wished to become reunited. Each would have to be in full accord and agreement that they would return to

each other and would then remain together for the rest of their lives—
if it could be at all worked out that they might do so. Each would have
to explain their desire and motives to the second parties involved. In
order to satisfy the laws of the land, an annulment of the second marriage would have to be obtained. And so it goes. Perhaps several children would be involved, or an equitable settlement of various properties
acquired as a result of the second marriage would have to be worked out.
Admittedly, there would invariably be many complicating factors and impeding obstacles to overcome. But, REGARDIESS OF ALL COMTRARY CIRCHMPENSONALITIES—regardless of what relatives, friends, or
reighbor's might think or say—Mom God has not joined them SHOULD WILLIAMS
IN ADMINIST, WITH SOMEONE TO THOM WOULD INAMS THIN ARE LIVING.
IN ADMINIST, WITH SOMEONE TO THOM SOUTH AS UNLAWFUL WAIGHT.

THE OTHER UNLAWFUL PARTMER AND DISSONAUG SUCH AS UNLAWFUL WAIGHT
SO, the only alternative for the one seeking to do dod's will would be to
separate from the second party—and remain so for the rest of their lives.
Unless, for the sake of children involved, they and the second party would
solemnly covenant with God to forsake all further sexual intercourse and
live together as husband and wife—IN NAME ONLY.

Regrettably, many who learn they are living in adultery are not willfluence they harden their hearts against the revealed will of God, and,
employing carnal human reason, foolishly conclude that God would not be a
God of love, nor fair, nor just, to expect them to make such a drastic
move. To which countless scriptures reply, GOD IS LOVE, HE IS FALM, AND
HE IS JUST: AND, THEET IS NOTHING HE REQUIRES BUT WHAT IS GOOD FOR US—
BOTH IN THIS LIFE AND IN THE WORLD TO COME: Anyone destring to please
Him, desiring His help and blessings for themselves and their every
both now and forever, must be willing to make their lives and their every
act conform with His loving will. All things considered, this present
life is of comparatively short duration. It is a time of trial and testing, of preparation for eternal life in the world to come. In order to
achieve the gift of eternal life, all disobedience must be removed, root
and branch (Romans 6:25, etc.). As Fault tells us, in teverous for themselves with mankind (the scall) INTERNIT THE KINGDOM OF GOD:

"KNOW INTERNITY OF UNITABLY OF ONESELY. WEITHER FORNICATIONS (those
guilty of unlawful intercourse after marriage), nor effective now abusers of themselves with mankind (the scaually degenerate and depraved)...

SHALL INTERNITY AS 22:14-13, etc.). Tes, for the sake of one's
eternal Salvation, if they must now relinquish a home and sexual intercourse—with someone God forbids—it must be done!

rragedy of tragedies, irony of ironies, there are millions of American homes today which have been founded on the sinful platform of divorce and remarriage. According to the vital statistics concerning Marriage and Divorce found on page 437 of the 1953 World Almanac and Book of Facts, the 30 year period from 1921 through 1951 saw approximately 7,397,509 divorces here in the United States. And, 1946 witnessed the record high of 610,000 divorces granted throughout the 48 States. Of which, incredible as it may seem, not one was granted for the one and only reason allowed by God! We have but two States which come even remotely close to God's Law where the matter of grounds for a divorce is concerned. One is South Carolina, where no divorce is granted to any person—for any reason whatsever. The other is New York where the charge that a man's wife has had relations with some other man, or that a woman's husband has had relations with some other man, or that a woman's husband and wife in God's sight—is allowed as the only grounds for divorce. So far as unfaithfulness to one's mate is concerned, not went to the state of the st

All the other States grant divorces for a multitude of reasons--none of which are permitted by the Almighty! In 1946 the late Senator Arthur Capper wrote an enlightening article, entitled Uniform Divorce Law, which was widely read in the American Weekly Magazine. In his informative article Mr. Capper made the following perfinent statements: "The institution of the family is seriously threatened in the United States by 49 different and conflicting laws on the subject of marriage and divorce ... As a people, we are disturbed by our divorce statistics. We have a right to be disturbed. THIN ARE HIGHER 1007 THAN THOSE OF ANY OTHER CONTERY IN THE JOCALE THANDER IN ARTIST OF ANY OTHER CONTERY THE WORLD. THE WALLES IN THE COUPLE SPLIN UNITY and 502,000 GIVORCES. In other words, FOR EVERY THESE COUPLES THAT WENT TO THE ALMAR, ONE COUPLE SPLIN UNITY IN A COURT... The time-honored vow (based on the Bible), "Until death do us part." has letter-honored vow (based on the Bible), "Until

HORE AND MORE OF THE PARTING...Divorces are steadily increasing toward the point where the family as a legal entity threatens to go out of existence." Heedless to say, these comments by the late distinguished Senator from Kansas brought a resounding "Amen" from every God-fearing man and woman throughout the United States and Canada who read his article.

whom want to "try it again," as some callously express themselves, it often happens that one of them will succeed in captivating someone who has never been married. After all, divorced persons do not usually wish to remarry another divorced person. But, regardless of whether a divorced person remarries one who is also divorced, or whether they remarry a single person—the resulting union and the ensuing sexual intercourse is completely invalid in God's sight! The principals of such unions are not made "one flesh" by Him. Weither does He bless their unlawful union in any way. In reality, such unauthorized mergers always incur His condemation and wrath. Any children born of such unlawful unions are completely illegitimate in His sight. No, God does not sanction or condone that which is sin, even though done in ignorance. As we are told by the Apostle Paul in Gal. 6:7:"ES NOT DECETUED; GOD IS NOT MOCKED: FOR WHAT-SOLVER A MAN SOWETH, THAT SHALL HE ALSO REAP." And, as Moses said in Numbers 32:23:"...BE SUKE YOUR SIN VILL FIND YOU OUT."

tracted a second marriage, once learning the truth, has no alternative but to try and become reunited with their original mate-the one to whom God has joined them "for life." On the other hand, if the case should be one where the single person, having married a divorced person, learns the truth that such a marriage is not lawful according to God's Laws, they then have no alternative but to immediately cease all further intercourse with the divorced person annulled. After which, if accomplished, he or she would then be entirely free in God's sight (Since God had not joined them to the divorced person) to remary...providing, of course, that the next time it be with a single person—one never married before. Then, and only then, would they finally be contracting a lawful marriage in God's sight...one He could and would place His Divine approval and blessings on. Unfortunately, the divorced person learning the truth and trying to become reunited with their original mate is not always able to effect such a reunion—in which case they have no choice but to remain estranged from their true mate and suffer the loss of sexual intercourse for the rest of their lives. This loss of sexual intercourse to some, where the rest of their lives. This loss of sexual intercourse they should be—cro the sake of teernal life—willing to bear it. (Consider truth, and managing to become free from the divorced person learning the truth, and managing to become free from the divorced person hearning the had unlawfully married, is not faced with the unhappy prospect of having on the person their party, one whose true mate has died—they are entirely free to do so.

### Why Was John Baptist Beheaded?

One of the most tragic, pathetic, and shameful episodes of the eprincipal characters the account of John the Baptist's death. Of the principal characters mentioned in the Bible, John the Baptist stands with those of the highest rank. His birth was prophesied (Isa. 40.3, Mal. 3:1 & 4:5, Inke 1:15); and he was the one given the high honor of haptizing Our Lord Jesus Christ (Matt. 3:13-16). Also, to his everlasting honor, Christ gave the following testimony regarding him in Matthew Itl: "Werily I say unto you, AMONG THEM HAT ARE BORN OF WOLLD THERE HATH HOT RISMN A GRAFTER THAN JOHN THE BAPTIST..." Despite all this, John the Baptist died a martyr seath; WHT? Very few seem to register the Baptist died a martyr seath; WHT? Very few seem to register sin of unlawful divorce and remarriage!—Consider with me-mow the sorry 10: "For HEROD had laid hold on John, and bound him, and FUT HIH IN FISON FOR HINDIAS SAKE, HIS BROTHER PHILLIP'S WIFE. FOR JOHN SAID WIFE HIM AND UNITED TO GOID, HE Gazed the multitude, because they yould have put him to death (out of rage for being thus reproved by a minister proping. But when Herod's birthday was kept, the BAUGHTER OF HINDIAS danced before them (Salome), and pleased Herod. Whereupon he promised instructed of her nothers. SAID, CIVE HE HERS AND WELGHER DEFINIOUS.

PLATTER. And the king was very sorry: nevertheless for the oath's sake, and them which sat with him at meat, he commanded it be given her. AND HE (Herod) SENT, AND ESHEADED JOHN IN THE PRISON."

In this heart-breaking incident we find where a faithful, courageous, dod-fearing minister dared to defy even an exalted Roman ruler...by tell-god-fearing minister dared to defy even an exalted Roman ruler...by tell-ing him that he was living in adultery with another man's wife...that they ing him that he was living in adultery with another man's wife...that they ing him that he was living in adultery with another man's wife to each other according to the laws of God governing His inhad no right to each other according to the laws of God governing His inhad no right her Herod became guilty of unlawful relations with another in the man's wife-.in this particular case, with his own brother's wife your man's wife-in this particular case, with his own brother's wife; John man's wife-in this particular case, with his own brother's wife; John man's wife-in this god decits...soft christ, who defied God and deliberately preached lies and deceits...soft decits, who defied God and deliberately preached lies and deceits...soft in this to the general public in order to receive filthy lucre and have a large following-mas are many who claim to be minister's of God. (Remember 2nd Cor. 1:1:13-15, Matt. 24:11 & 24, 2nd Peter 2:1-2 & 14, Isa. (Remember 2nd Cor. 1:1:13-15, Matt. 24:11 & 24, 2nd Peter 2:1-2 & 14, Isa. 30:8-10, 2nd Tim. 4:3-4). John's first thought was always to please and 30:8-10, 2nd Tim. 4:3-4). John's first thought was always to please and 30:8-10, 2nd Tim. 4:3-4). John's first thought was always to please and 30:8-10, 2nd Tim. 4:1-20, he incurred the wengeful wrath of both Herod and his wicked paramour. But, it was especially she who nursed her smoldering hatred paramour. But, it was especially she who nursed her smoldering hatred and took adventage of circumstances to cause John's death.

ARE FOLLOWING JOHN SHAPE TO TAILER FORM: Now assume control of the process of the second states of the mounting stench of confloation and satultery, mightly protest against the mounting stench of confloation and satultery, and set the dissolution of that which costs the maddening spectacle of unlawful divorce and remarriage, we stross the maddening spectacle of unlawful divorce and remarriage, and the proper mannioned) and lawyer those of secular law—the judges (as Senator capper mentioned) and lawyer those of secular law—the judges (as Senator capper mentioned) and lawyer has business of unlawful divorce and remarriage, the multi-million dolution by the proper mentioned and lawyer law business of unlawful divorce and remarriage, the multi-million dolution by the proper law of the blind are the business with an all live, "are trampling the Greator's Laws underfoot and as they both shall live," are trampling the Greator's Laws underfoot and as they both shall live, "are trampling the Greator's Laws underfoot and as they both shall live, "are trampling the Greator's Laws underfoot and as they both shall live, "are trampling the Greator's Laws underfoot and as they both shall live, "are trampling the Greator's Laws underfoot and as they both shall live, "are trampling the Greator's Laws underfoot and the first limited the word transce any honest mind that the test of underfoot and the format of the true meaning of the true meaning of the country, the shall be grounds besided mate of Great the saturation of the true meaning of the first of underfoot and the proof already presented on security and the format the converted as all the growth the format the converted of the guilty of the injured husband that he had a right to divorce this write guilty of the first plant the woman that she had become totally being the proof and the summary to determine whether and the method employed up to the time of the full proper transcription

IF, and this is a very big IF, one's life with one's lawful marriage partner.should.become humanly intolerable for some reason or combination of reasons—then God does allow a separation from one's true mate. But, noither the husband or wife living in separation from the other has any right to ever divorce the other and remarty someone else. (Consider this point in 1st Cor. 7:10-11). He ONE SHOULD MARRY A WOW-CHRISTIAN (2nd Cor. 6:10-12)! They if those done so, they are advised by Faul to remain with their unbelieving mate (1st Cor. 7:12-15). providing, of course, that the unbelieving mate (1st Cor. 7:12-15). providing, of course, that the unbelieving mate (1st Cor. 7:12-15) are divised by laul to remain that the unbelieving mate (1st Cor. 7:12-15). providing the light of course of the constant of the constant and the conclusion I ask you to seriously consider Melachi 2:14-16 and Jeremish 5:30-31. LAY GOD HELD EVERYONE TO ORRY HIS IOVING WITL.